

Notice of Meeting

Surrey Police and Crime Panel



We're on Twitter:
@SCCdemocracy

Date & time
Thursday, 26
September 2024
at 10.30 am

Place
Woodhatch Place,
Reigate, Surrey

Contact
Jake Chambers, Scrutiny Officer
07971 663 794
Jake.Chambers@surreycc.gov.uk

If you would like a copy of this agenda or the attached papers in another format, e.g. large print or braille, or another language please either call Democratic Services on 020 8541 9122, or write to Democratic Services, Surrey County Council at Woodhatch Place, 11 Cockshot Hill, Reigate, Surrey, RH2 8EF, Minicom 020 8541 9698, fax 020 8541 9009, or email Jake.Chambers@surreycc.gov.uk.

This meeting will be held in public. If you would like to attend and you have any special requirements, please contact Jake Chambers, Scrutiny Officer on 07971 663 794.

Please note that the meeting will also be webcast live, which can be accessed via the Surrey Police and Crime Panel page on the Surrey County Council website.

This page can be accessed by following the link below:

<https://mycouncil.surreycc.gov.uk/ieListMeetings.aspx?CId=250&Year=0>

Members

Cllr Shanice Goldman
Cllr Paul Kennedy
Cllr James Baker
Cllr Barry Cheyne
Cllr Mike Smith
Cllr Danielle Newson
Cllr John Robini
Cllr Richard Wilson
Cllr Ellen Nicholson
Cllr Tony Burrell
Cllr Ayesha Azad
Cllr Richard Smith
Ms Juliet Fryer
Vacant

Epsom and Ewell Borough Council
Mole Valley District Council
Reigate and Banstead Borough Council
Elmbridge Borough Council
Runnymede Borough Council
Guildford Borough Council
Waverley Borough Council
Surrey Heath Borough Council
Woking Borough Council
Spelthorne Borough Council
Surrey County Council
Tandridge District Council
Independent Member
Independent Member

PART 1 **IN PUBLIC**

5 PUBLIC QUESTIONS

(Pages 21 -
22)

The deadline for public questions is seven days before the meeting Thursday 19 September 2024.

Note:

A written response will be circulated to Panel Members and the questioner.

Terence Herbert
Chief Executive

Published: Wednesday, 25 September 2024

MOBILE TECHNOLOGY AND FILMING – ACCEPTABLE USE

Those attending for the purpose of reporting on the meeting may use social media or mobile devices in silent mode to send electronic messages about the progress of the public parts of the meeting. To support this, Woodhatch Place has wifi available for visitors – please ask at reception for details.

Anyone is permitted to film, record or take photographs at council meetings. Please liaise with the council officer listed in the agenda prior to the start of the meeting so that those attending the meeting can be made aware of any filming taking place.

Use of mobile devices, including for the purpose of recording or filming a meeting, is subject to no interruptions, distractions or interference being caused to the PA or Induction Loop systems, or any general disturbance to proceedings. The Chairman may ask for mobile devices to be switched off in these circumstances.

It is requested that if you are not using your mobile device for any of the activities outlined above, it be switched off or placed in silent mode during the meeting to prevent interruptions and interference with PA and Induction Loop systems.

Thank you for your co-operation

Note: *This meeting may be filmed for live or subsequent broadcast via the Council's internet site - at the start of the meeting the Chairman will confirm if all or part of the meeting is being filmed. The images and sound recording may be used for training purposes within the Council.*

Generally the public seating areas are not filmed. However by entering the meeting room and using the public seating area, you are consenting to being filmed and to the possible use of those images and sound recordings for webcasting and/or training purposes.

If you have any queries regarding this, please contact the representative of Legal and Democratic Services at the meeting.

SURREY POLICE AND CRIME PANEL – 26 SEPTEMBER 2024

PROCEDURAL MATTERS – PUBLIC QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES

1. Question submitted by Cllr Ashley Tilling

Q: The DISC system is a crime and anti-social behaviour reporting tool that many police forces use around the country. There has been a marked increase in theft from shops and more anti-social behaviour being reported in and around our high streets. The reporting system enables retail & hospitality owners to easily log incidents which are then readily available to the police not only to determine action necessary but also to compile a database of offenders for prosecution.

The thirteen Business Improvement Districts (BIDs) in Surrey have requested that Surrey Police install a direct reporting link from their DISC systems so that a true level of incidents are reported and readily actioned.

Firstly, are Surrey Police able to commit to meeting this request?

Secondly, in other authorities the PCC has funded DISC to ensure every town and village that experiences crime and ASB can use the system. Will this be funded in Surrey?

Police & Crime Commissioner's Response:

Having checked with Surrey Police, I am informed that this request is currently being progressed and primarily led by the Digital, Data and Technology Team because of the required integration of IT systems.

I do however have some reservations around third-party reporting systems such and would encourage retailers and business owners to continue to use the established reporting channels to report incidents – 101, online or in an emergency, 999 - unless otherwise directed by Surrey Police. This view is based on conversations I've held both with police colleagues and with local businesses, and the need to ensure we are accurately recording incidents of crime.

In terms of funding, my office regularly provides funding to local initiatives and projects via the Community Safety Fund. My team are always happy to receive applications from local borough and district councils, or via Community Safety Partnerships, to support local or pan-Surrey initiatives. Whilst I am not able to commit to funding without a clear business case in place, we would naturally consider such an approach in line with our usual funding criteria. For more information please see: <https://www.surrey-pcc.gov.uk/funding/apply-for-funding/>

2. Question submitted by Cllr Alex Coley

Q: Why have recent reports of notifiable offences been managed as ASB by Surrey Police?

I am concerned that criminal offences are being downgraded as ASB. I have experience of this myself directly when reporting criminality from a group of teenagers in Epsom & Ewell to Surrey Police. Suspected offences referenced by stakeholders include:

- Arson not endangering life

- Making threats and harassment
- Racial aggravated intentional harassment or alarm or distress
- Racially aggravated common assault or beating
- Assault
- Robbery - business
- Theft of vehicle
- Carrying a knife
- Having possession of a controlled drug
- Criminal damage
- Aiding, abetting, causing or permitting dangerous driving

Police and Crime Commissioner's response:

Accurately recording crime is of vital importance, and Surrey Police has numerous processes in place to ensure that Home Office Counting Rules are applied correctly. Significant work has also been carried out since HMICFRS inspected Surrey Police last year, including a problem-solving approach to address hidden crime within ASB.

Training has been provided to all Contact Centre and FCR staff to remind them to identify any potential crime and follow the call script, which prompts them to check for any crime disclosures. Each audit now provides feedback to the supervisor, who addresses staff members not recording information correctly or failing to use the ASB call script. Hidden crime within ASB is now included in all internal ASB training.

Performance is managed through the ASB Performance Board and the Crime Data Integrity Board. Regular audits conducted by the Force Crime and Incident Registrars Team are now in place to inform both boards about compliance.

The latest audits of reports to the police closed as 'ASB Personal' showed that the frequency of missed crime in recorded incidents of ASB has fallen since the previous audit and last year's HMICFRS PEEL inspection. The April 2024 audit found 3.2 missed crimes per 100 recorded ASB incidents, and the latest audit found just 0.6 missed crimes per 100 recorded ASB incidents. This compares against 5 at the time of the January audit, and 18 at the time of the HMICFRS inspection.